

A service standard for Management Plans across England

Keith Jones Area Director, FC November 2017



Introduction

- Can we agree that <u>Quality Management Plans</u> are underpin sustainable woodland management; especially if you are bringing a woodland into management for the first time?
- So what do we mean by Service Standard?



The South East Service standard Pilot

The SE Service Standard Pilot

- Ran a 12 month Pilot in the South East following the "Better Plans Faster Approval" events in 2015
- Built on an earlier SW project

AIMS of Service Standard pilot were to:

- 1. Improve quality of first draft of plans.
- 2. Shorten approval period for Management Plans.
- 3. Facilitate earlier approval of associated Felling Licences
- 4. Monitor work and work loads to identify and reduce blockages
- 5. Achieve greater consistency across FC staff and Applicants


The SE Pilot – what we did

- Launched at meeting with agents in June 2016
- Used a spreadsheet to track progress & outcomes
- Considered whether:
 - a. A 1st draft could be responded to within 28 days
 - b. The option to submit a 3rd draft plan would make a significant difference
 - c. A pre-draft WO site visit would improve the quality of the plan
 - d. Other work had a significant impact

The SE pilot - What we did


- 116 plans submitted and approved by 9 woodland officers between June 2016 and June 2017
- Set up a spreadsheet to record date of plan receipt and response as well as reasons for failure to achieve approval
- Plans reflected all sizes of wood, 4 were unfunded
- 63 plans were approved at first draft stage and 4 were rejected

SE Results - How long to plan approval



- Average days from agreement to approved plan
 - Before pilot 121 days
 - After pilot 81 days

SE Results – with/without site visits



SE Pilot Top Reasons for failing UKFS

Plan of Ops

- Not completed
- Failed to consider species under threat from diseases
- Poor surveying
- Adjacency issues for felling.

Forest context and important features in management

- Ignored data collected on the ground and on maps
- Highlight issues and then failed to address in strategy
- Failed to gather important species information easily available – and then adjust plan
- No consultation with NE ahead of 1st Draft



SE Results for last 6 months

How many weeks does it take to approve a plan in principle OR issue a felling licence?.

Approved in Principal		Issue of Felling Licence
Period	Weeks	Weeks
2016/7 anytime	7.4	
March – August 2017	4.6	17.6



Recommendations

To roll out the following service standard – The Forestry Commission will:

- 1. Read and approve (or return with comments) first draft plan within 5 weeks (35 calendar days) for 80% of cases.
- 2. Limit plan iterations to 2 drafts only.

PLUS:

- 3. Strongly encourage applicants to engage with a Woodland Officer **before** preparing their first draft plan.
- 4. Place felling proposals on the public register in parallel with plan appraisal where the agent and wood are known and trusted.



Other SE Pilot Points

- 1. We were unable to prioritise Management Plan applications at all times due to other work e.g. processing Countryside Stewardship and un-licenced felling priorities
- 2. Peer review plan appraisal for consistency within and between areas first review planned before April 2018

Next Steps in NW & WM

What happens next in the NW & WM:

- Consider the feedback from this and our other event in Preston later this week.
- We work within our team and stakeholders to role out the Service Standard during 2018.